1 2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Anderson Berry (SBN 262879) Leslie Guillon (SBN 222400) CLAYEO C. ARNOLD, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORP. 865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 777-7777 Facsimile: (916) 924-1829 ABerry@Justice4You.com LGuillon@Justice4You.com	
8	Ryan J. McGee (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Kenya J. Reddy (<i>Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming</i>)	
9	MORGAN & MORGAN	
10	COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP 201 N. Franklin St., 7th Floor	
11	Tampa, FL 33602	
12	Telephone: (813) Facsimile: (813)	
13	JYanchunis@ForThePeople.com PMcGoo@ForThePeople.com	
14	RMcGee@ForThePeople.com KReddy@ForThePeople.com	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
19	ROBERT CULLEN, individually and on	Case No.: 5:20-cv-02155-LHK
20	behalf of all others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' BUXBAUM AND BLUM
21	Plaintiff,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
22	v.	DE RELATED
23	ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS,	
24	INC.,	
25	Defendant.	
26		
27	[Caption continued on next page.]	_
28		

ADAM BUXBAUM and DEBORAH BLUM, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs

v.

ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 5:20-cv-02939-SVK

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 3-12 and 7-11, Plaintiffs in *Buxbaum, et al. v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc.*, No. 5:20-cv-02939-SVK ("*Buxbaum*"), submit this Administrative Motion for the Court to consider whether *Buxbaum* should be related to *Cullen v. Zoom Video Communications, Inc.*, No. 5:20-cv-02155-LHK ("*Cullen*"). Plaintiffs further request reassignment to the Honorable Lucy H. Koh, United States District Judge, for all further proceedings in *Buxbaum*.

Under Local Rule 3-12(a), "An action is related to another when: (1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or event; and (2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges." Both elements are satisfied to relate *Buxbaum* and *Cullen*.

The *Buxbaum* and *Cullen* actions are related because they concern substantially the same parties, property, transactions, and events. Both are proposed class actions against Zoom Video Communications, Inc. ("Zoom") concerning allegations that Zoom violated various consumer protection laws related to Zoom's security and privacy practices, as well as alleged vulnerabilities in Zoom's products. *Cullen* was filed on March 30, 2020. Since then, at least ten other similar proposed class actions against Zoom have been filed in this District.

1	On April 24, 2020, pursuant to stipulation of the parties in Taylor v. Zoom Video	
2	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 3:20-cv-02170-RS ("Taylor"), Johnston v. Zoom Video	
3	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02376-SVK ("Johnston"), Kondrat, et al v. Zoom Video	
4	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02520-NC ("Kondrat"), Lawton v. Zoom Video	
5	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 3:20-cv-02592-SK ("Lawton"), Jimenez v. Zoom Video	
6	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02591-LHK ("Jimenez"), Hartmann v. Zoom Video	
7	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02620-NC ("Hartmann"), and Henry v. Zoom Video	
8	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02691-SVK ("Henry") (collectively, the "Stipulated	
9	Cases"), this Court ordered all cases related to Cullen, and reassigned them to this Court for all	
10	purposes. (Doc. No. 28). Since then, two additional cases (not including Plaintiffs' case) have	
11	similarly moved for relatedness under the Local Rules: Simins v. Zoom Video Telecommunications,	
12	Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02893-VKD ("Simins") (Doc. No. 35) and Greenbaum v. Zoom Video	
13	Telecommunications, Inc., No. 5:20-cv-02861-NC ("Greenbaum") (Doc. No. 36).	
14	Given the common parties, allegations, and requested relief, adjudication of these actions	
15	separately would create an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense. Assignment of	
16	these cases to a single United States District Judge will conserve judicial resources and eliminate	
17	the potential for conflicting results. The actions are at a preliminary stage and thus assignment to a	
18	single judge would not prejudice any of the parties.	
19	For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court relate the	
20	Buxbaum action to the Cullen action, and that this Court reassign the Buxbaum action to this Court.	
21	Dated: May 1, 2020 By: /s/M. Anderson Berry	
22	M. Anderson Berry (SBN 262879)	
23	<u>aberry@justice4you.com</u> Leslie Guillon (SBN 222400)	
24	lguillon@justice4you.com CLAYEO C. ARNOLD,	
25	A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORP. 865 Howe Avenue	
26	Sacramento, CA 95825	
27	Telephone: (916) 777-7777 Facsimile: (916) 924-1829	
28		

1	John A. Yanchunis
2	<u>JYanchunis@ForThePeople.com</u> Ryan J. McGee
3	RMcGee@ForThePeople.com Kenya J. Reddy
4	KReddy@ForThePeople.com
5	MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP
6	201 N. Franklin St., 7th Floor Tampa, Florida 33602
7	Telephone: (813) 223-5505
8	Facscimile: (813) 223-5402
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
_0	